African Primary Care Research: Current situation, priorities and capacity building

1. Introduction
The 6th Primafamed workshop on “Capacity Building and Priorities in Primary Care Research” was held in Pretoria, 22-24 June 2014. Delegates from the following countries attended the workshop: Ghana, Nigeria, Uganda, Kenya, Tanzania, Sudan, Malawi, Zimbabwe, Botswana, Namibia, South Africa, Zambia, Ethiopia, Rwanda, Mozambique, Swaziland, Belgium, Denmark. Delegates were from established or emerging departments of family medicine and primary care in these countries. The central theme of the workshop was primary care research – the current situation, the priorities for research and the need for capacity building. This report gives a summary of the consensus on these matters that emerged from the workshop.
The motivation for the conference was partly derived from the involvement of Professor Bob Mash (SA) and Professor Olayinka Ayankogbe (Nigeria) in the WONCA Global Working Party on Primary Care Research, which has a goal of promoting primary care research.

The workshop was funded by grants received from the European Union (SA), Medical Research Council (SA), SURMEPI (SA) and INCO (Belgium).

2. Process

A four step process was followed leading up to this report on the final consensus:

1. **Situational analysis:** Each institution attending the workshop was requested to present a poster summarising their current research activities and output. The delegates reviewed these posters in an interactive poster session.

2. **International perspective:** Professor Felicity Goodyear-Smith addressed the conference on capacity building for primary care research from her perspective as Head of Department of General Practice & Primary Health Care, University of Auckland; Founding Editor, Journal of Primary Health
Care; Executive member, WONCA Working Party on Research; and Vice-Chair, International Committee, North American Primary Care Research Group.

3. *Small group discussion:* The delegates were divided into four groups to reflect on the situational analysis, give feedback on the current research priorities, define what capacity building was needed and give suggestions on how this capacity could be attained. Small groups were facilitated by Dr Akye Essuman (Ghana), Dr Riaz Ratansi (Tanzania), Prof Felicity Goodyear-Smith (New Zealand) and Prof Bob Mash (South Africa).

4. *Consensus building plenary:* Each of the four groups made a short powerpoint presentation in plenary and these presentations were followed by a general discussion. The comments and additional reflections made during the final plenary were documented.

This report is a summary of the final consensus achieved through this process.

Prof Felicity Goodyear-Smith answers questions after her plenary address
3. Situational analysis
The workshop considered the current strengths and weaknesses of primary care research in the African context from their perspective of the discipline of family medicine and primary care.

3.1 Strengths of current situation

3.1.1 The context of family medicine and primary care researchers

Family medicine and primary care is a generalist discipline which works in communities, primary care facilities and district hospitals. Little research currently takes place within this context and there is therefore a huge potential for almost any research to be useful and to make a difference. Understanding community health needs and strengthening primary health care are important aspects of any country’s health system. Research performed in communities and primary care can be more relevant to people’s health and the translation of evidence into practice. The research agenda is more closely aligned with the needs of communities. For example the community can be seen as a “living laboratory” and community orientated primary care can result in rich data derived from homes and families. Due to its generalist nature primary care touches on issues across the full burden of disease and tends to be more person-orientated – trying to make sense of how people see health and disease. There is a clear opportunity for a partnership between service, training and research within a culture of learning in communities and primary care. The African context will also provide unique opportunities for primary care research that are not found elsewhere. As exemplified by the participants of this workshop there is interest in and commitment to increase capacity and activity in the area of primary care research.

3.1.2 Support for research activities is increasing on a small scale

Many of the institutions represented are increasingly offering support for research activities and capacity building – for example through the Medical Education Partnership Initiative. Some institutions are putting pressure on their staff to perform
better in the area of research. Universities of course also receive substantial funding and in some countries subsidies for research activities and outputs.

3.1.3 The region does have some leadership and expertise to support research

It should be acknowledged that the region does contain research expertise to support and enable primary care research.

3.1.4 Training programmes require students to perform research

Currently postgraduate training in family medicine at most institutions requires students to perform research as part of their training. In a few cases the undergraduate programme also prepares people for research activities.

3.1.5 Opportunities exist for publication and presentation of research

Within the region there are a number of national, regional and international journals such as the *SA Family Practice Journal*, the *East African Medical Journal* and the *African Journal of Primary Health Care and Family Medicine*. In addition there are a number of opportunities to present at national and regional conferences such as the annual *SA National Family Practitioners Conference* or the *WONCA Regional Conference*. Botswana is about to host its 2nd *Family Medicine Conference*.

3.1.6 There is an established culture of networking and collaboration

A number of networks and collaborations already exist in terms of developing training programmes through PRIMAFAMED and MEPI for example. There are also examples of research collaboration, such as with the HURAPRIM project. This meeting itself demonstrated a huge potential for collaboration and not competition between stakeholders. In some settings there may be opportunities for collaboration between the private and public sector.

3.1.7 There is an opportunity for inter-disciplinary research teams

As the clinical nature of family medicine and primary care is to work in teams of community health workers, nurses, mid-level health workers, doctors and allied health professionals there is an established culture of co-operation. This has the potential to enable inter-disciplinary research approaches.
3.2 Weaknesses in the current situation

Some delegates preferred to re-frame weaknesses as challenges and opportunities for future development.

3.2.1 Low research capability

Departments of family medicine and primary care have few academic staff and those that do exist lack expertise in performing and supervising research. Most staff are newly qualified or relatively junior and many postgraduate research projects are poorly designed or lack social and scientific value. Most research performed is descriptive and small scale and there is a lack of capacity to perform more experimental and analytical types of research on a larger scale.

3.2.2 Low research capacity – people, funding and resources

Large scale funding is mostly from overseas donors and funding agencies, and is not targeted at strengthening primary health care outside of certain priority diseases such as HIV and TB. On the other hand the lack of capability amongst researchers makes it difficult for them to compete for and obtain large scale international funding. Researchers may also fail to be aware of or take advantage of the smaller scale grants and funding opportunities available locally. At this time researchers should focus on low cost, high impact projects.

Some countries reported that they still have limited or unreliable access to the internet, key software and that their institutions could not afford access to many journals.

The demands of clinical service and teaching reduce the available time and energy for a focus on research.

The number of postgraduate students at a Masters level to help drive research is also small in many countries.
3.2.3 Failure to publish and disseminate research findings

Despite the opportunities listed above much of the research performed is not submitted for publication or presented at conferences. Research however should be judged not so much by the impact factor of the journal as by its impact on policy and practice, which may depend on strategies other than just publication.

3.2.4 High inertia in the system

The process of obtaining ethical approval and permission to perform research is a long and bureaucratic process in many institutions. This may be compounded by a lack of support for the types of research commonly performed in primary care, for example qualitative and action research type projects. Review committees and boards do not usually have representatives from the family medicine and primary care context.

3.2.5 Lack of innovation in types of research

People working in primary care may not see the rich opportunities for research that are a part of their daily work due to their prior exposure to types of research performed in referral hospitals, laboratories and clinical trials – which become normative in terms of their understanding of what research should be like. The opportunities for evaluation of community health needs, surveys, quality improvement studies, programme evaluation, participatory action and qualitative research are lost.

3.2.6 Poor co-ordination of research activities

Researchers are often working on small scale projects in isolation and without alignment to a clear set of local priorities. Few departments have a clearly agreed research agenda.

3.2.7 Lack of collaboration in research activities

Despite the existing collaboration on training there is relatively little collaboration between institutions and countries on primary care research projects. There is also a lack of awareness of the expertise and support that could be obtained from researchers within the same institutions, but from different disciplines. For example there is no database of established researchers in the field and potential mentors.

3.2.8 Lack of support from academic and government policymakers
The relatively low status of family medicine and primary care in most universities and the hospital-centric view of many health systems, means that there is a relative lack of understanding and support from key leaders and stakeholders for primary care research. Often there is not a national plan or strategy for primary care research. On the other hand researchers may also lack insight into the national research priorities that have been identified.

4. Future priorities in primary care research

The delegates recognised that it is not possible to set specific priorities for the whole of Africa and that each country and institution must set its own such priorities for the local context. Nevertheless some general comments and pointers were made based on the typology of primary care research suggested by John Beasley and Barbara Starfield.
4.1 General comments
Primary care research should shift the focus from hospitals to primary health care and communities. Research should have clear social value to communities and scientific value to decision-makers. Research should use a mix of different methods and range across the whole of the typology outlined below.

4.2 Basic research
This should focus on the adaptation (e.g. of the primary care assessment tool) or development (e.g. family physician impact assessment tool) of key tools for use in primary care research in the African context.

4.3 Clinical research
Most research is currently in this domain. Research should focus across the whole local burden of disease (e.g. HIV/AIDS, TB, non-communicable chronic diseases, injury and violence, maternal and child mortality etc.) and look at cost-effective interventions to improve the quality of care or community-orientated primary care.

4.4 Health services
There is little research currently looking at the core dimensions of effective primary health care – access, continuity, co-ordination, comprehensiveness, efficiency. This however should be a priority area in terms of strengthening the primary healthcare system. Strengthening the health information system within the district is also a priority.

4.5 Health systems
Most research at this level has been on the contribution of family medicine, family physicians and primary care doctors to the health system. As this is still a contested issue in most African countries this remains a priority – evidence for the contribution of family medicine and how family physicians should be utilized within the district health system. A broader theme is that of research on the human resources for primary healthcare in the African context.

4.6 Educational research
As family medicine and primary care training programmes are in a state of design and development in many countries the need for supportive research to guide this process remains a priority. For example curriculum development and faculty development are key topics.

5. How to build capacity for primary care research
The development of both capability and capacity was seen as a maturational process over time and not just an issue of training. Given the rich primary care context and
growing number of role players the building of their capacity may unlock a new stream of research activity.

5.1 Contribution of regional and international networks in family medicine and primary care

South-South collaboration, as well as North-South, should be enabled by the existing networks such as Primafamed, WONCA, and MEPI. These networks should enable the sharing of expertise, resources and tools for research, as well as published research from within the network. They could also be a way of sharing information on funding opportunities and grants. These networks should also encourage the emergence of joint projects and provide training opportunities. Mentors and mentees should be connected and a database of expertise and mentorship created. Regional meetings are an opportunity for networking, benchmarking between countries, training and strategic planning. Websites or list serves operated by these networks can be a means of disseminating information and resources, and should also become more interactive. Those better off in terms of resources should take the lead and involve others.
5.2 Contribution of the individual countries and academic institutions

Develop national policy which includes a focus on primary care research.

Enable funding mechanisms for emerging primary care researchers. The subsidy scheme in SA by the Department of Education to universities linked to research outputs is a useful incentive and funding mechanism.

Universities should look at building formal links for primary care research.

Universities and faculties should look at how orientation to and preparation for research is built into the undergraduate programmes (e.g. research toolbox, extra credit for research). Developing skills in evidence-based practice can complement the development of research capability.

Universities and faculties should create opportunities for the presentation and even in-house publication of research with incentives and prizes for participation – encourage emerging researchers.

Ensure that the process for ethics approval and permission to perform research is an efficient process that supports primary care research.

Accepting the research assignment for the MMed in the format of a journal article and incentivizing publication as an option for assessment during the degree (i.e. don’t need external examination if accepted for publication through peer review by an accredited journal) can encourage throughput and publication.

5.3 Contribution of the departments of family medicine and primary care

Each department should develop a clear research agenda and strategy for capacity building, which can give direction to staff and students in terms of their research questions and topics. This should also be communicated to the broader faculty.

Ensure that student projects are aligned with this agenda and bring multiple small scale individual projects together to make a larger more integrated whole.

Engage with the communities served when setting the research agenda as this will ensure more social accountability.

Collaborate with local research expertise (e.g. public health) to deliver on the research agenda set above. Explore the possibility of inter-disciplinary research teams, which also encourage critical thinking from different perspectives.

Partner with the health services and policy makers to ensure research is relevant and findings will be incorporated into decision making.
Develop a research culture - reward and celebrate research outputs. Link more experienced researchers with emerging researchers.

Integrate service, learning and research – research what you do.

Make use of resources such as the 10 articles just published on primary care research methods in the *African Journal of Primary Health Care and Family Medicine*. Ensure that you have registered with the journal and get e-alerts of published articles.

Make full use of local opportunities for training and funding.

Consider having a designated primary care research champion who can link with others in the region and meet at WONCA or Primafamed.

**5.4 Training issues**

Training needs can be met at all levels, for example, distance learning courses from the broader international community, training during Primafamed or WONCA meetings in the region, by the University or Faculty, or even within the specific department:

- Create opportunities for advanced research training through doctoral degree programmes. Aim for each department to have one person with a PhD who is able to supervise and capacitate others. Look for funds to support this initiative, capacity for doctoral supervision and opportunities for training (e.g. SU African doctoral academy)
- Provide courses or retreats on scientific writing skills for proposals, grants, reports and publications
- Provide courses on relevant methodologies for primary care researchers

Report prepared by Prof Bob Mash *rm@sun.ac.za*